

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,695	02/07/2002	Kris D. Diehl	4570.85	2515
7:	590 01/08/2003			
Ray L. Weber			EXAMINER	
Renner, Kenner, Greive, Bobak, Taylor & Weber Fourth Floor			LE, DANG D	
First National T Akron, OH 44	- · · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
riation, Ori	300 1 130		2024	

DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*****		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>Y</i> '-			
			DIEHL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		10/072,695					
	Omoo , todon our mary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Dang D Le	2834	address			
Period fe		ears on the cover c	meet mar the correspondence	address			
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered tir  X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
	Posponsivo to communication(s) filed on						
1)∐ 2a)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	— · is action ìs non-fina					
3)□	<i>,</i> —			the morite is			
,	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			the ments is			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	ı <b>.</b>					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirem	ent.				
	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 February 2002</u> is/are	•	•				
44)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on		, –	niner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		(0.0.0.1/0//0/0/0/0/0/				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* (	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	reau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	al Stage			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		nal application).			
a	a)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ovisional application	has been received.	,,			
Attachmer			2.2.2.33 120 230 121,				
1) 🔯 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Notice of Informal Patent Application ( Other:				

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-11, drawn to the apparatus of a stator, classified in class 310, subclass 254.
  - II. Claims 12-14, drawn to the apparatus of a blower, classified in class 310, subclass 89.
  - III. Claims 15-17, drawn to the method of making a stator, classified in class 29, subclass 596.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as being used in a generator.
- 3. Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/072,695 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as being used for cooling.

- 4. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as being used for cooling. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. During a telephone conversation with attorney Andrew B. Morton, Reg. No. 37,400 on 1/6/03 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claims 1-11. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 12-17 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

  Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/072,695 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al.
   Regarding claim 1, Suzuki et al. show an encapsulated stator assembly (Figures 1-8), comprising:
  - A plurality of like laminations (9-11) stacked in registration with one another, each said lamination contacting at least one adjacent lamination, but said laminations not integrally connected in any way to any other lamination (Figure 3); and
  - A single covering layer partially enclosing and maintaining in registration said plurality of like laminations (Figure 1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/072,695

Art Unit: 2834

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

11. Claims 2-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. in view of Sakashita et al.

Regarding claim 2, it is noted that Suzuki et al. also show each said lamination comprising a stamping, said stamping having an inner diameter (9, Figure 3), and a plurality of teeth (10, 11) extending radially outwardly from said stamping.

Suzuki et al. do not show the inner diameter with at least one alignment feature.

Sakashita et al. show the inner diameter (22, Figure 5) with at least one alignment feature (top left portion) for the purpose of mounting the stator to the frame.

Since Suzuki et al. and Sakashita et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the inner diameter with at least one alignment feature as taught by Sakashita et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Regarding claim 3, it is noted that Suzuki et al. also show said layer comprising at least one collar axially extending therefrom and proximally aligned with said inner diameter (Figure 1).

Regarding claim 4, it is noted that Suzuki et al. also show a radial transition between said collar and said layer, said radial transition facing away from said inner diameter.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,695 Page 6

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claim 5, it is noted that Suzuki et al. also show at least one stand-off post (15) extending from said collar.

Regarding claim 6, it is noted that Suzuki et al. also show said layer comprising a creepage wall extending from an outer periphery of said layer (Figure 1, left side).

Regarding claim 7, it is noted that Suzuki et al. also show each of said plurality of teeth having an edge projection that collectively form an outer diameter with gaps disposed therebetween and wherein said outer diameter is substantially flush with said creepage wall.

Regarding claim 8, it is noted that Suzuki et al. also show said layer further comprising a tooth nub extending axially from said creepage wall at each said edge projection.

Regarding claim 9, it is noted that Suzuki et al. also show each of said plurality of teeth having an edge projection that collectively form an outer diameter, and wherein said tooth nubs project radially inwardly to expose a surface of the lamination that is at the end of said lamination stack.

Regarding claim 10, it is noted that Suzuki et al. also show at least one stand-off post extending from said layer.

Regarding claim 11, it is noted that Suzuki et al. also show at least one stand-off post including a head that is deflectable for receipt in an appropriate receptacle.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

Application/Control Number: 10/072,695

Art Unit: 2834

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

DDL January 7, 2003 Sang L. L